

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,945	03/23/2004	Scott A. Isaacson	6647-052	4105
45842 7590 05/09/2007 MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C NOVELL 210 SW MORRISON STREET SUITE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97204			EXAMINER	
			PEESO, THOMAS R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2132	
				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/807,945	ISAACSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas R. Peeso	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tince will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. TO (35 U.S.C. 6.133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<u></u>	_· action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-11</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,12-14,16-24 and 26-31</u> is/are reje						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,15 and 25</u> is/are objected to						
Application Papers	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23Mar2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	· • •	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
	•					
Attachment(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06Sep2005. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
	·, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Application/Control Number: 10/807,945

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 12, 13, 19, 22, 23, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 6,178,508 to Kaufman.

As per claims 1, 12, 19, 22 and 29, Kaufman discloses the limitations of these claims (see at least the abstract and fig. 5)

As per claims 2-4, Kaufman discloses these features (col. 5, lines 53-66).

As per claim 5, Kaufman also discloses this item (fig. 2, item 202).

As per claims 13 and 23, Kaufman further discloses these limitations (see the abstract)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14, 16-18, 20, 21, 24, 26-28, 30, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaufman as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of the examiner taking official notice.

As per claims 14 and 24, Kaufman does not specifically disclose the limitation of these claims. The examiner, however, takes official notice that these elements are well known in the art of security systems. It would have been obvious to anyone having an ordinary level of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included these features in the invention of Kaufman since they comprise very well known elements necessary for the entire system to function in a secure and controlled environment..

As per claims 16-18, 26-28, Kaufman does not specifically disclose the limitations of these claims. The examiner, however, takes official notice that these elements are well known in the art of security systems. It would have been obvious to anyone having an ordinary level of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included these features in the invention of Kaufman for the same reasons as stated above.

As per claims 20, 21, 30, 31, Kaufman further discloses these elements for the reasons stated above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-11 are allowed.

Claims 6, 15, 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2132

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Peeso whose telephone number is 571 272-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. The central fax number for the office is 571 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on 571 272-3799.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas R. Peeso Primary Examiner

3 May 2007